

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARIAN ORR, D.O.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF
OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:12-cv-2119-GMN-VCF

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach (ECF No. 52), entered on December 5, 2016.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

Accordingly,

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant shall be awarded attorney's fees in the
6 amount of \$8,002.00.

8
9 **DATED** this 28 day of December, 2016.

Page 2 of 2